1 (4) SINGLE BENCH

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 6751 2006

Petitioner ..... Baburoy Sahu, Aged 43 years
S/O Shri Ramratan Sahu
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primary School, Peesaygoan,
Tahsil & District, Durg
(C.G.)

VERSUS

Respondent ... ... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Education Department
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Block Education Officer,
Durg (C.G)

RECEIVED-COPY
29/10/02
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Writ petition under articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS, Certiorari, Prohibition and other suitable WRIT or writs, Order or orders, Direction or directions.

(20)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S).675/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11.12.2006:

Shri Somkant Verma counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Somesh Bajaj GA for the respondent/State.

Petitioner is working as Teacher in a Government School. In the course of his service as Teacher, he has obtained B.T.I. certificate at his own expenses before 1.3.1999.

According to learned counsel for the petitioner, petitioner is entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal corporation, Durg and another reported in 2006 (2) MPJR – CG 96** wherein it has been held that the teachers having obtained B.Ed., M.Ed., BTI certificates at their own expenses are covered by the circular dated 24.12.1998 issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.

Further in the matter of **Smt. Manorama Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. and others reported in 2000 (1) MPLSR 136**, the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench while considering the circular dated 1.3.1999 wherein it is provided that the teachers who have acquired B.Ed. degree at their own cost between 23.10.1964 and 7.5.1973 shall be entitled to two advance increments, relied on the decision in the case of Rakesh Kumar Shrivastava Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others passed in Original Application No. 1632/1999 decided on 12.10.1999 wherein it is observed that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively. Thus, a teacher may be Assistant Teacher, who has obtained B.Ed. egree before 1.3.1999 is entitled to two advanced increments.

It is pointed out by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the then state of Madhya Pradesh had filed a Special Leave Petition against the orders passed by the Tribunal and the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed the

(21)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP.677/..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Special Leave Petition No. 1999/2000 filed against the order of the tribunal. Therefore, the direction to the effect that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively, passed by the Tribunal, becomes final and the same shall be applicable to all the Assistant Teachers, who have obtained B.Ed./BTI certificates before 1.3.1999. It is further substantiated by a circular dated 17.10.2003 issued by the present State of Madhya Pradesh wherein the circular clearly states about the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case of petitioner are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj (supra) to which learned counsel appearing for the respondent/State fairly concedes and agrees with the averments made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of Yashwant Kuamr Bharadwaj subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioner has acquired B.T.I. certificate at his own expenses as per the circular dated 24.12.1998 and 1.3.1999 and further whether he has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to cost. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

P.T.O.

20/2/06